

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 2.1

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		Α	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/544,212	10/17/9	5 RUSSO		D	01222.0034
	IM62/1026 7			EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT AND DUNNER			BRUNSMAN, D		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1300 I STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20005			1755	4.0
				DATE MAILED:	10/26/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. **08/544,212**

David M. Brunsman

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit

up Ait 0i **1755**

Russo et al



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Jun 2000	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution in accordance with the practice under Ex parte QuayNe35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	n as to the merits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three_ month(s), confidence from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for respondication to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ponse will cause the
Disposition of Claim	
X Claim(s) <u>1-29, 31-60, 65, and 66</u>	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s)is/a	are withdrawn from consideration
<u>X</u> ∣ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u>	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) 28, 29, 31-60, 65, and 66	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims are subject to re	estriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. The proposed drawing correction, filed on isapproveddd isapproveddd isapproveddd isapprovedd	isapproved. en
 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 → SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES → 	

Application/Control Number: 08544212

Art Unit: 1755

The outstanding office action mailed 05 June 2000 is withdrawn in view of reconsideration of the issues in this reissue application. The telefax amendment filed 22 June 2000 has been entered in an attempt to expedite prosecution. Claims 1-29, 31-60, 65 and 66 are pending. The original patented claims 1-27 remain in allowable condition.

The first and second protests filed in this application have been fully considered and the issues raised therein have been prosecuted in the subsequent office actions. Each of the documents cited in the protests has been explicitly considered and made of record on a PTO-1449, Information Disclosure Statement, already present in the application file.

In view of recent court decisions on recapture such as *In re Clement*, 45 USPQ2d 1164 and others, a new rejection under recapture is required. MPEP 1412.02 sets forth office policy regarding the standards to be used in formulating a rejection under recapture in light of these new court decisions. MPEP 1412.02, example A is considered to be particularly relevant to the instant issues.

Claims 28, 29, 31-60, 65 and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251. In the original application, broad claims were rejected for the reason that the disclosure was enabling only for claims to gaseous compositions of a particular class of silicon oxide precursors, tin oxide precursors and certain accelerants were allowed, in that only these precursors would achieve the required deposition rate of at least 350 angstroms/sec. that was explicitly taught as defining over the prior art. See columns 1-4 of the original patent. The office action specifically stated "The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest a gaseous composition comprising the recited tin oxide

Application/Control Number: 08544212 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

precursor, silicon oxide precursor and accelerant selected from borates, phosphites and water."

The claims were amended to be limited to those specific materials in response to the rejection.

MPEP 1412.02 states

If the limitation now being omitted or broadened in the present reissue was originally presented/argued/stated in the original application to make the claims allowable over a rejection or objection made in the original application, the omitted limitation relates to subject matter previously surrendered by applicant, and impermissible recapture exists.

For example,

The limitation A omitted in the reissue claims was present in the claims of the original application. The examiner's reasons for allowance in the original application stated that it was that limitation A which distinguished over a potential combination of references X and Y. Applicant did not present on the record a counter statement or comment as to the examiner's reasons for allowance, and permitted the claims to issue. The omitted limitation is thus established as relating to subject matter previously surrendered.

A limitation of the patent claims is omitted in the reissue claims. This omission provides a broadening aspect in the reissue claims, as compared to the claims of the patent. The omitted limitation was originally argued in the original application to make the application claims allowable over a rejection or objection made in the application. Thus, the omitted limitation relates to subject matter previously surrendered, in the original application.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 08544212

Art Unit: 1755

In the instant proceedings, the patentee explicitly asserted an obtained deposition rate of 350 angstroms/second as distinguishing over the prior art and states "The invention is made by CVD at rates greater than about 350 angstroms/second." This statement alone is seen as sufficient to prohibit recapture of subject matter outside compositions that do not necessarily obtain that depositions rate. Reissue claims must be limited to compositions that deposit at 350 angstroms/second. Furthermore, the claims in the patented application were specifically amended to be limited to those compositions that necessarily obtain that deposition rate. Any reissue claims limited to compositions depositing at at least 350 angstroms/second must, therefore, also be limited to the specific gaseous precursor compositions set forth in the patented claims in view of the prosecution history of the patented application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Brunsman whose telephone number is (703) 308-3454. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, Thursdays and Fridays from 6:30 am to 5:00 pm eastern time. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached on (703) 308-3823. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3599. All communication related to the merits of the application, including the outstanding rejections, should be made in writing.

Art Unit: 1755

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

DMBrunsman October 12, 2000

David M. Brunsman Primary Examiner Group 1755